arXiv:hep-th/0303059v2 17 Mar 2003

Gauge conservation laws in higher-dimensional Chern–Simons models

G.Sardanashvily

Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 117234 Moscow, Russia
E-mail: sard@grav.phys.msu.su
URL: http://webcenter.ru/∼sardan/

Abstract. Being gauge non-invariant, a Chern–Simons $(2k-1)$-form seen as a Lagrangian of gauge theory on a $(2k-1)$-dimensional manifold leads to the gauge conservation law of a modified Noether current.

One usually considers the Chern–Simons (henceforth CS) gauge theory on a principal bundle over a 3-dimensional manifold whose Lagrangian is the local CS form derived from the local transgression formula for the second Chern form. This Lagrangian fails to be globally defined unless the above mentioned principal bundle is trivial. Though the local CS Lagrangian is not gauge-invariant, it leads to a (local) conservation law of the modified Noether current [2, 5, 7]. This result is also extended to the global CS theory on a 3-dimensional manifold [1, 4]. Its Lagrangian is well defined, but depends on a background gauge potential. Therefore, it is gauge-covariant. At the same time, the corresponding Euler–Lagrange operator is gauge invariant, and the above mentioned gauge conservation law takes place. We aim to show that any higher-dimensional CS theory admits such a conservation law.

There is the following general scheme of describing Lagrangian systems on fibre bundles whose Euler–Lagrange operators are gauge-invariant, but Lagrangians need not be so [10] (see also [3]).

Let $Y \to X$ be a smooth fibre bundle over an n-dimensional base X. An r-order Lagrangian is defined as a density $L = \mathcal{L}d^n x$ on the r-order jet manifold $J^r Y$ of sections of $Y \to X$ (e.g., [5, 8]). Let u be a vertical vector field on $Y \to X$ seen as an infinitesimal generator of a local one-parameter group of vertical bundle automorphisms (gauge transformations) of $Y \to X$. Let $J^r u$ be its prolongation onto $J^r Y \to X$. The following three formulas are called into play.

(i) Let $\mathbf{L}_{J^r u} L$ denote the Lie derivative of L along $J^r u$. The first variational formula provides its canonical decomposition

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^r u} L = u \rfloor \delta L + d_H(J^r u \rfloor H_L), \tag{1}$$

where δL is the Euler–Lagrange operator of L, d_H is the total differential, H_L is a Poincaré–Cartan form of L, and $\mathfrak{J}_u = J^r u \rfloor H_L$ is the corresponding Noether current along u.

(ii) The master identity

$$\delta(\mathbf{L}_{J^r u} L) = \mathbf{L}_{J^{2r} u}(\delta L) \tag{2}$$

shows that the Euler–Lagrange operator δL of L is gauge-invariant iff the Lie derivative $\mathbf{L}_{J^r u} L$ is a variationally trivial Lagrangian.

(iii) An r-order Lagrangian L is variationally trivial iff it takes the form

$$L = d_H \zeta + h_0(\varphi) \tag{3}$$

where ζ is an $(n-1)$-form of jet order $r-1$, φ is a closed n-form on Y, and h_0 is the horizontal projection.

In the CS theories, $Y \to X$ is an affine bundle. Therefore, its de Rham cohomology equals that of X. Then, one obtains from the formulas (2) – (3) that the Euler–Lagrange operator δL of a Lagrangian L is gauge-invariant iff the Lie derivative $\mathbf{L}_{J^r u} L$ of this Lagrangian takes the form

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^r u} L = d_H \sigma + \phi, \tag{4}$$

where ϕ is a non-exact n-form on the base X. Let the Lie derivative (4) reduces to the total differential

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^r u} L = d_H \sigma, \tag{5}$$

e.g., it vanishes iff a Lagrangian L is gauge-invariant. Then, the first variational formula (1) on $\operatorname{Ker} \delta L$ leads to the equality

$$0 \approx d_H(\mathfrak{J}_u - \sigma), \tag{6}$$

regarded as a conservation law of the modified Noether current $\overline{\mathfrak{J}} = \mathfrak{J}_u - \sigma$.

Let us write the above formulas in the case of first order Lagrangians [9]. Given bundle coordinates (x^λ, y^i) on a fibre bundle $Y \to X$, its first and second jet manifolds J^1Y and J^2Y are equipped with the adapted coordinates $(x^\lambda, y^i, y^i_\mu)$ and $(x^\lambda, y^i, y^i_\mu, y^i_{\lambda\mu})$, respectively. We will use the notation $\omega = d^n x$ and $\omega_\lambda = \partial_\lambda \rfloor \omega$. Given a first order Lagrangian $L = \mathcal{L}(x^\lambda, y^i, y^i_\lambda)\omega$ on J^1Y, the corresponding second order Euler–Lagrange operator reads

$$\delta L = \delta_i \mathcal{L} dy^i \wedge \omega = (\partial_i \mathcal{L} - d_\lambda \partial^\lambda_i)\mathcal{L} dy^i \wedge \omega, \tag{7}$$

where $d_\lambda = \partial_\lambda + y^i_\lambda \partial_i + y^i_{\lambda\mu} \partial^\mu_i$ are the total derivatives, which yield the total differential

$$d_H \varphi = dx^\lambda \wedge d_\lambda \varphi \tag{8}$$

acting on the pull-back of exterior forms on J^1Y onto J^2Y. The kernel $\operatorname{Ker}\delta L \subset J^2Y$ of the Euler–Lagrange operator (7) defines the Euler–Lagrange equations $\delta_i\mathcal{L}=0$. Since

$$d_H\circ h_0 = h_0\circ d, \tag{9}$$

a first order Lagrangian L is variationally trivial iff

$$L = h_0(\varphi), \tag{10}$$

where φ is a closed n-form on Y and the horizontal projection h_0 reads

$$h_0(dx^\lambda)=dx^\lambda, \qquad h_0(dy^i)=y^i_\lambda dx^\lambda, \qquad h_0(dy^i_\mu)=y^i_{\lambda\mu}dx^\lambda. \tag{11}$$

Let $u=u^i\partial_i$ be a vertical vector field on $Y\to X$. Its jet prolongation onto J^1Y is

$$J^1u = u^i\partial_i + d_\lambda u^i\partial^\lambda_i. \tag{12}$$

The Lie derivative of a Lagrangian L along J^1u reads

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1u}L = d(J^1u\rfloor L) + J^1u\rfloor dL = (u^i\partial_i + d_\lambda u^i\partial^\lambda_i)\mathcal{L}\omega. \tag{13}$$

Accordingly, the first variational formula (1) takes the form

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1u}L = u^i\delta_i\mathcal{L}\omega + d_\lambda\mathfrak{J}^\lambda\omega, \tag{14}$$

where the Noether current along u reads

$$\mathfrak{J}_u = \mathfrak{J}^\lambda\omega_\lambda = u^i\partial^\lambda_i\mathcal{L}\omega_\lambda. \tag{15}$$

Now, let us turn to gauge theory of principal connections on a principal bundle $P\to X$ with a structure Lie group G. Let J^1P be the first order jet manifold of $P\to X$ and

$$C = J^1P/G \to X \tag{16}$$

the quotient of P with respect to the canonical action of G on P (e.g., [5, 6, 8]). There is one-to-one correspondence between the principal connections on $P\to X$ and the sections of the fibre bundle C (16), called the connection bundle. Given an atlas Ψ of P, the connection bundle C is provided with bundle coordinates (x^λ, a^r_μ) such that, for any its section A, the local functions $A^r_\mu = a^r_\mu\circ A$ are coefficients of the familiar local connection form. From the physical viewpoint, A is a gauge potential.

The infinitesimal generators of local one-parameter groups of vertical automorphism (gauge transformations) of the principal bundle P are G-invariant vertical vector fields on P. There is one-to-one correspondence between these vector fields and the sections of the quotient

$$V_G P = VP/G \to X \tag{17}$$

of the vertical tangent bundle VP of $P \to X$ with respect to the canonical action of G on P. The typical fibre of $V_G P$ is the right Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_r$ of the Lie group G, acting on this typical fibre by the adjoint representation. Given an atlas Ψ of P and a basis $\{\epsilon_r\}$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_r$, we obtain the fibre bases $\{e_r\}$ for $V_G P$. If $\xi = \xi^p e_p$ and $\eta = \eta^q e_q$ are sections of $V_G P \to X$, their bracket is

$$[\xi, \eta] = c^r_{pq} \xi^p \eta^q e_r,$$

where c^r_{pq} are the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}_r$. Note that the connection bundle C (16) is an affine bundle modelled over the vector bundle $T^*X \otimes V_G P$, and elements of C are represented by local $V_G P$-valued 1-forms $a^r_\mu dx^\mu \otimes e_r$. The infinitesimal generators of gauge transformations of the connection bundle $C \to X$ are vertical vector fields

$$\xi_C = (\partial_\mu \xi^r + c^r_{pq} a^p_\mu \xi^q) \partial^\mu_r. \tag{18}$$

The connection bundle $C \to X$ admits the canonical $V_G P$-valued 2-form

$$\mathfrak{F} = (da^r_\mu \wedge dx^\mu + \frac{1}{2} c^r_{pq} a^p_\lambda a^q_\mu dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu) \otimes e_r, \tag{19}$$

which is the curvature of the canonical connection on the principal bundle $C \times P \to C$ (e.g., [6]). Given a section A of $C \to X$, the pull-back

$$\begin{aligned} F_A = A^* \mathfrak{F} &= \frac{1}{2} F^r_{\lambda\mu} dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu \otimes e_r, \\ F^r_{\lambda\mu} &= \partial_\lambda A^r_\mu - \partial_\mu A^r_\lambda + c^r_{pq} A^p_\lambda A^q_\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

of $\mathfrak{F}$ onto X is the strength form of a gauge potential A.

Turn now to the CS forms. Let $I_k(\epsilon) = b_{r_1 \dots r_k} \epsilon^{r_1} \cdots \epsilon^{r_k}$ be a G-invariant polynomial of degree $k > 1$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_r$ written with respect to its basis $\{\epsilon_r\}$, i.e.,

$$I_k(\epsilon) = \sum_j b_{r_1 \dots r_k} \epsilon^{r_1} \cdots c^{r_j}_{pq} e^p \cdots \epsilon^{r_k} = k b_{r_1 \dots r_k} c^{r_1}_{pq} \epsilon^p \epsilon^{r_2} \cdots \epsilon^{r_k} = 0.$$

Let us associate to $I(\epsilon)$ the gauge-invariant $2k$-form

$$P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F}) = b_{r_1 \dots r_k} \mathfrak{F}^{r_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathfrak{F}^{r_k} \tag{21}$$

on C. It is a closed form due to the equalities

$$dP_{2k}(\mathfrak{F}) = \sum_j b_{r_1\ldots r_k}\mathfrak{F}^{r_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge d\mathfrak{F}^{r_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathfrak{F}^{r_k} =$$
$$\sum_j b_{r_1\ldots r_k}\mathfrak{F}^{r_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge c^{r_j}_{pq}\mathfrak{F}^p\wedge a^q_\mu dx^\mu\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathfrak{F}^{r_k} =$$
$$(\sum_j b_{r_1\ldots r_k}\mathfrak{F}^{r_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge c^{r_j}_{pq}\mathfrak{F}^p\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathfrak{F}^{r_k})\wedge a^q_\mu dx^\mu = 0.$$

Let A be a section of $C\to X$. Then, the pull-back

$$P_{2k}(F_A) = A^*P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F}) \tag{22}$$

of $P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F})$ is a closed characteristic form on X. Recall that the de Rham cohomology of C equals that of X since $C\to X$ is an affine bundle. It follows that $P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F})$ and $P_{2k}(F_A)$ possess the same cohomology class

$$[P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F})] = [P_{2k}(F_A)] \tag{23}$$

for any principal connection A. Thus, $I_k(\epsilon)\mapsto[P_{2k}(F_A)]\in H^*(X)$ is the familiar Weil homomorphism.

Let B be a fixed section of the connection bundle $C\to X$. Given the characteristic form $P_{2k}(F_B)$ (22) on X, let the same symbol stand for its pull-back onto C. By virtue of the equality (23), the difference $P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F})-P_{2k}(F_B)$ is an exact form on C. Moreover, similarly to the well-known transgression formula on a principal bundle P, one can obtain the following transgression formula on C:

$$P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F})-P_{2k}(F_B) = d\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B), \tag{24}$$
$$\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B) = k\int_0^1\mathfrak{P}_{2k}(t,B)dt, \tag{25}$$
$$\mathfrak{P}_{2k}(t,B) = b_{r_1\ldots r_k}(a^{r_1}_{\mu_1}-B^{r_1}_{\mu_1})dx^{\mu_1}\wedge\mathfrak{F}^{r_2}(t,B)\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathfrak{F}^{r_k}(t,B),$$
$$\mathfrak{F}^{r_j}(t,B) = [d(ta^{r_j}_{\mu_j}+(1-t)B^{r_j}_{\mu_j})\wedge dx^{\mu_j}+$$
$$\frac{1}{2}c^{r_j}_{pq}(ta^p_{\lambda_j}+(1-t)B^p_{\lambda_j})(ta^q_{\mu_j}+(1-t)B^q_{\mu_j})dx^{\lambda_j}\wedge dx^{\mu_j}]\otimes e_r.$$

Its pull-back by means of a section A of $C\to X$ gives the transgression formula

$$P_{2k}(F_A)-P_{2k}(F_B) = dS_{2k-1}(A,B)$$

on X. For instance, if $P_{2k}(F_A)$ is the characteristic Chern $2k$-form, then $S_{2k-1}(A,B)$ is the familiar CS $(2k-1)$-form. Therefore, we agree to call $\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)$ (25) the CS form on the connection bundle C. In particular, one can choose the local section $B=0$. Then, $\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}=\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(0)$ is the local CS form. Let $S_{2k-1}(A)$ denote its pull-back onto X by means of a section A of $C\to X$. Then, the CS form $\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)$ admits the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)=\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}-S_{2k-1}(B)+dK_{2k-1}(B). \tag{26}$$

Let J^1C be the first order jet manifold of the connection bundle $C\to X$ equipped with the adapted coordinates $(x^\lambda,a^r_\mu,a^r_{\lambda\mu})$. Let us consider the pull-back of the CS form (25) onto J^1C denoted by the same symbol $\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)$, and let

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)=h_0\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B) \tag{27}$$

be its horizontal projection. This is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)=k\int_0^1\mathcal{P}_{2k}(t,B)dt,\\
&\mathcal{P}_{2k}(t,B)=b_{r_1\dots r_k}(a^{r_1}_{\mu_1}-B^{r_1}_{\mu_1})dx^{\mu_1}\wedge\mathcal{F}^{r_2}(t,B)\wedge\cdots\wedge\mathcal{F}^{r_k}(t,B),\\
&\mathfrak{F}^{r_j}(t,B)=\frac{1}{2}[ta^{r_j}_{\lambda_j\mu_j}+(1-t)\partial_{\lambda_j}B^{r_j}_{\mu_j}-ta^{r_j}_{\mu_j\lambda_j}-(1-t)\partial_{\mu_j}B^{r_j}_{\lambda_j})+\\
&\qquad\frac{1}{2}c^{r_j}_{pq}(ta^p_{\lambda_j}+(1-t)B^p_{\lambda_j})(ta^q_{\mu_j}+(1-t)B^q_{\mu_j}]dx^{\lambda_j}\wedge dx^{\mu_j}\otimes e_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us consider the CS gauge model on a $(2k-1)$-dimensional base manifold X whose Lagrangian

$$L_{\rm CS}=\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B) \tag{28}$$

is the CS form (27) on J^1C. Clearly, this Lagrangian is not gauge-invariant. Let ξ_C (18) be the infinitesimal generator of gauge transformations of the connection bundle C. Its jet prolongation onto J^1C is

$$J^1\xi_C=\xi^r_\mu\partial^\mu_r+d_\lambda\xi^r_\mu\partial^{\lambda\mu}_r.$$

The Lie derivative of the Lagrangian $L_{\rm CS}$ along $J^1\xi_C$ reads

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\xi_C}\mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B)=J^1\xi_C\rfloor d(h_0\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B))=\mathbf{L}_{J^1\xi_C}(h_0\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)). \tag{29}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\xi_C}(h_0\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B))=h_0(\mathbf{L}_{\xi_C}\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B))=h_0(\xi_C\rfloor d\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)+d(\xi_C\rfloor\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)).$$

By virtue of the transgression formula (24), we have

$$d(\xi_C \rfloor d\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)) = \mathbf{L}_{\xi_C}(d\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)) = \mathbf{L}_{\xi_C} P_{2k}(\mathfrak{F}) = 0.$$

It follows that $\xi_C \rfloor d\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)$ is a closed form on C, i.e.,

$$\xi_C \rfloor d\mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B) = d\psi + \varphi,$$

where φ is a non-exact $(2k-1)$-form on X. Moreover, $\varphi = 0$ since $P(\mathfrak{F})$, $k > 1$, does not contain terms linear in da^r_μ. Hence, the Lie derivative (29) takes the form (5) where

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\xi_C} \mathcal{S}_{2k-1}(B) = d_H\sigma, \qquad \sigma = h_0(\psi + \xi_C \rfloor \mathfrak{S}_{2k-1}(B)).$$

As a consequence, the CS theory with the Lagrangian (28) admits the conservation law (6).

In a more general setting, one can consider the sum of the CS Lagrangian (28) and some gauge-invariant Lagrangian.

For instance, let G be a semi-simple group and a^G the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}_r$. Let

$$P(\mathfrak{F}) = \frac{h}{2} a^G_{mn} \mathfrak{F}^m \wedge \mathfrak{F}^n \tag{30}$$

be the second Chern form up to a constant multiple. Given a section B of $C \to X$, the transgression formula (24) on C reads

$$P(\mathfrak{F}) - P(F_B) = d\mathfrak{S}_3(B), \tag{31}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_3(B)$ is the CS 3-form up to a constant multiple. Let us a consider the gauge model on a 3-dimensional base manifold whose Lagrangian is the sum

$$L = L_{\rm CS} + L_{\rm inv} \tag{32}$$

of the CS Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\rm CS} = h_0(\mathfrak{S}_3(B)) = h a^G_{mn} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} [&\frac{1}{2} a^m_\alpha (\mathcal{F}^n_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{3} c^n_{pq} a^p_\beta a^q_\gamma) - \\ &\frac{1}{2} B^m_\alpha (F(B)^n_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{3} c^n_{pq} B^p_\beta B^q_\gamma) - d_\alpha(a^m_\beta B^n_\gamma)] d^3x, \\ \mathcal{F} = h_0 \mathfrak{F} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^r_{\lambda\mu} dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu \otimes e_r, &\qquad \mathcal{F}^r_{\lambda\mu} = a^r_{\lambda\mu} - a^r_{\mu\lambda} + c^r_{pq} a^p_\lambda a^q_\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

and some gauge-invariant Lagrangian

$$L_{\rm inv} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm inv}(x^\lambda, a^r_\mu, a^r_{\lambda\mu}, z^A, z^A_\lambda)d^3x \tag{34}$$

of gauge potentials and matter fields. Then, the first variational formula (1) on-shell takes the form

$$\mathbf{L}_{J^1\xi_C} L_{\rm CS} \approx d_H(\mathfrak{J}_{\rm CS} + \mathfrak{J}_{\rm inv}), \tag{35}$$

where $\mathfrak{J}_{\rm CS}$ is the Noether current of the CS Lagrangian (33) and $\mathfrak{J}_{\rm inv}$ is that of the gauge-invariant Lagrangian (34). A simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{L}_{J^1\xi_C} L_{\rm CS} = -d_\alpha(ha^G_{mn}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\partial_\beta\xi^m a^n_\gamma + (\partial_\beta\xi^m + c^m_{pq}a^p_\beta\xi^q)B^n_\gamma))d^3x,\\
&\mathfrak{J}^\alpha_{\rm CS} = ha^G_{mn}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\partial_\beta\xi^m + c^m_{pq}a^p_\beta\xi^q)(a^n_\gamma - B^n_\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expression into the weak equality (35), we come to the conservation law

$$0 \approx d_\alpha[ha^G_{mn}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(2\partial_\beta\xi^\mu a^n_\gamma + c^m_{pq}a^p_b a^n_\gamma\xi^q) + \mathfrak{J}^\alpha_{\rm inv}]$$

of the modified Noether current

$$\overline{\mathfrak{J}} = ha^G_{mn}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(2\partial_\beta\xi^\mu a^n_\gamma + c^m_{pq}a^p_b a^n_\gamma\xi^q) + \mathfrak{J}^\alpha_{\rm inv}.$$

References

[1] G.Allemandi, M.Francaviglia and M.Raiteri, Covariant charges in Chern–Simons AdS_3 gravity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 483; *E-print arXiv:* gr-qc/0211098.

[2] A.Borowiec, M.Ferraris and M.Francaviglia, Lagrangian symmetries of Chern–Simons theories, *J. Phys. A* **31** (1998) 8823: *E-print arXiv:* hep-th/9801126.

[3] A.Borowiec, M.Ferraris, M.Francaviglia and M.Palese, Conservation laws for non-global Lagrangians, *E-print arXiv*: math-ph/0301043.

[4] A.Borowiec, M.Ferraris and M.Francaviglia, A covariant formalism for Chern–Simons gravity, *J. Phys. A* **36** (2003) 2589; *E-print arXiv*: math-ph/0301146.

[5] G.Giachetta, L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *New Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Methods in Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997).

[6] L.Mangiarotti and G.Sardanashvily, *Connections in Classical and Quantum Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).

[7] G.Sardanashvily, Stress-energy-momentum tensor in constraint field theories, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997) 847.

[8] G.Sardanashvily, Ten lectures on jet manifold in classical and quantum field theory, *E-print arXiv*: math-ph/0203040.

[9] G.Sardanashvily, Noether conservation laws issue from the gauge invariance of an Euler–Lagrange operator, but not a Lagrangian, *E-print arXiv:* math-ph/0302012

[10] G.Sardanashvily, Noether conservation laws in infinite order Lagrangian formalism, *E-print arXiv:* math-ph/0302063.